	10.		PCT WRITTEN OPINION			
Alban Tay	Mahtani & De Silva		1	WRITTEN OPINION	friend	
39 Robinson Road			(PCT Rule 66)			
	binson Point			(1 31 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
SINGAPORE 068911 .			Date of mailing (day/month/year)	2 F AUS 2805		
Applicant's or agent's file reference TING/20302314/KC/EK/kt			REPLY DUE	within 'ONE MONTH from the above date of mailing		
International Application No. International Filing D		ite (day/month/year)	Priority Date (day/month/year)			
	PCT/SG2003/000223 19 September 200			19 September 2003		
_	l Patent Classification (IPC) or					
Int. Cl. 7	H01L 21/4763, 21/285, 23	/36, 23/367, 23/373,	31/024, 31/052, 31/	18, H018 5/024		
Applicant	GGI TECHNOLOGIES PRI	VATE Í ÍMITED <i>e</i>	t al			
1 114	GGI TECHNOLOGIES FRI	VAIS SHALLED C	t di			
). This wr	itten opinion is the third dr	awn by this Internatio	nal Preliminary Exam	ining Authority.		
2. This op	inion contains indications relati	ng to the following its	ems:.			
ı İx	7	•				
11	Priority					
III	Non-establishment of opinion v	with regard to novelty, in	ventive step and industri	ial applicability		
ıv 🗀	Lack of unity of invention			<u> </u>		
		66 26-360 with a second				
v x	explanations supporting such st		to novelty, inventive step	or industrial applicability; citations and		
VI [Certain documents cited					
	_					
VII I	Certain defects in the internation	nal application				
VII T	Certain defects in the internation					
VIII X	Certain observations on the inte	ernational application		lished assessing to Puls (0.2 in		
VIII X	Certain observations on the inte	ernational application	itien report must be estal	plished according to Rule 69.2 is:		
VIII X 3. The FIN 19 Janu	Certain observations on the internationary 2006	emational application nal preliminary examina	ution report must be estal	olished according to Rule 69.2 is:		
VIII X 3. The FIN 19 Janu	Certain observations on the internation ary 2006 licant is hereby invited to reply See the Reply Due date indicate	emational application nal preliminary examina y to this opinion. d above. However, the A	Australian Patent Office v	will not establish the Report before the ea	arlier	
VIII X 3. The FIN 19 Janu 4. The app	Certain observations on the internation of the indicate (i) a response being filed, or (ii)	emational application nal preliminary examina y to this opinion. d above. However, the A one month before the Fi	Australian Patent Office v nal Date by which the in	will not establish the Report before the ea nternational preliminary examination repo	ort m	
VIII X 3. The FIN 19 Janu 4. The app	Certain observations on the internationary 2006 licant is hereby invited to reply See the Reply Due date indicate (i) a response being filed, or (ii) be established. The Report will the	emational application nal preliminary examina y to this opinion. d above. However, the A one month before the Fi ake into account any res	Australian Patent Office v nal Date by which the in ponse (including amendi	will not establish the Report before the ea nternational preliminary examination report ments) filed before the Report is establish	ort m hed.	
VIII X 3. The FIN 19 Janu 4. The app	Certain observations on the internationary 2006 licant is hereby invited to reply See the Reply Due date indicate (i) a response being filed, or (ii) be established. The Report will the If no response is filed by I monthe basis of this opinion.	emational application nal preliminary examina y to this opinion. d above. However, the A one month before the Fi ake into account any res ath before the Final Da	Australian Patent Office val Date by which the in ponse (including amendate, the international preli	will not establish the Report before the ea nternational preliminary examination report ments) filed before the Report is establish iminary examination report will be establ	ort m hed. lished	
VIII X 3. The FIN 19 Janu 4. The app	Certain observations on the internationary 2006 licant is hereby invited to reply See the Reply Due date indicate (i) a response being filed, or (ii) be established. The Report will the fine response is filed by 1 monthe basis of this opinion. Applicants wishing to have the best.	emational application nal preliminary examina y to this opinion. d above. However, the A one month before the Fi ake into account any res oth before the Final Da cenefit of a further opinion	Australian Patent Office val Date by which the in ponse (including amendate, the international prelication (if needed) before the	will not establish the Report before the ea nternational preliminary examination report ments) filed before the Report is establish	ort m hed. lished ì	
VIII X 3. The FIN 19 Janu 4. The app	Certain observations on the internationary 2006 licant is hereby invited to reply See the Reply Due date indicate (i) a response being filed, or (ii) be established. The Report will the If no response is filed by I monthe basis of this opinion. Applicants wishing to have the bresponse is filed at least 3 monthers.	emational application nal preliminary examina y to this opinion. d above. However, the A one month before the Fi ake into account any res oth before the Final Da enefit of a further opinions before the Final Date companied, where appro-	Australian Patent Office of nal Date by which the in ponse (including amendate, the international prelication (if needed) before the by which the internation opriate, by amendments,	will not establish the Report before the eanternational preliminary examination reportents) filed before the Report is establish iminary examination report will be established should ensure that a mail preliminary examination report must	ort m hed. lished a	
VIII X 3. The FIN. 19 Janu 4. The app When?	Certain observations on the internationary 2006 licant is hereby invited to reply See the Reply Due date indicate (i) a response being filed, or (ii) be established. The Report will the fine response is filed by 1 months basis of this opinion. Applicants wishing to have the bresponse is filed at least 3 month established. By submitting a written reply, ac	emational application nal preliminary examina y to this opinion. d above. However, the A one month before the Fi ake into account any res oth before the Final Da cenefit of a further opinion as before the Final Date companied, where appre- the amendments, see Re submit amendments, see consider amendments an	Australian Patent Office of nal Date by which the in ponse (including amendate, the international prelimited by which the internation of the populate, by amendments, ules 66.3 and 66.9. Rule 66.4. Indoor arguments, see Rule 20.	will not establish the Report before the eanternational preliminary examination reportents) filed before the Report is establish iminary examination report will be established should ensure that a mail preliminary examination report must according to Rule 66.3.	ort m hed. lished a	
VIII X 3. The FIN 19 Janu 4. The app When? How?	Certain observations on the internationary 2006 licant is hereby invited to reply See the Reply Due date indicate (i) a response being filed, or (ii) be established. The Report will the fine response is filed by I monthe basis of this opinion. Applicants wishing to have the bresponse is filed at least 3 monthe established. By submitting a written reply, act For the form and the language of For an additional opportunity to see For the examiner's obligation to the stablished.	emational application nal preliminary examina y to this opinion. d above. However, the A one month before the Fi ake into account any res oth before the Final Da cenefit of a further opinion as before the Final Date companied, where appre- the amendments, see Re submit amendments, see consider amendments an	Australian Patent Office of nal Date by which the in ponse (including amendate, the international prelimited by which the internation of the populate, by amendments, ules 66.3 and 66.9. Rule 66.4. Indoor arguments, see Rule 20.	will not establish the Report before the eanternational preliminary examination reportents) filed before the Report is establish iminary examination report will be established should ensure that a mail preliminary examination report must according to Rule 66.3.	ort m hed. lished ì	
VIII X 3. The FIN 19 Janu 4. The app When? How? Also Name and mai	Certain observations on the internationary 2006 licant is hereby invited to reply See the Reply Due date indicate (i) a response being filed, or (ii) be established. The Report will the fine response is filed by 1 months basis of this opinion. Applicants wishing to have the bresponse is filed at least 3 month established. By submitting a written reply, action for the form and the language of For an additional opportunity to a for the examiner's obligation to for an informal communication willing address of the IPEA/AU N PATENT OFFICE	emational application nal preliminary examina y to this opinion. d above. However, the A one month before the Fi ake into account any res ath before the Final Da senefit of a further opinion as before the Final Date companied, where appre the amendments, see Re submit amendments, see consider amendments an with the examiner, see R	Australian Patent Office value of the including amendite, the international prelice of the internation (if needed) before the by which the internation operiate, by amendments, ules 66.3 and 66.9. Rule 66.4. Iddor arguments, see Rule 66.6.	will not establish the Report before the eanternational preliminary examination reportents) filed before the Report is establish iminary examination report will be established should ensure that a mail preliminary examination report must according to Rule 66.3.	ort m hed. lished ì	
VIII X 3. The FIN 19 Janu 4. The app When? How? Also Name and mai AUSTRALIA PO BOX 200,	Certain observations on the internationary 2006 licant is hereby invited to reply See the Reply Due date indicate (i) a response being filed, or (ii) be established. The Report will the If no response is filed by I month the basis of this opinion. Applicants wishing to have the bresponse is filed at least 3 month established. By submitting a written reply, action for the form and the language of For an additional opportunity to For the examiner's obligation to For an informal communication of the IPEA/AU NPATENT OFFICE WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRAL	emational application nal preliminary examina y to this opinion. d above. However, the A one month before the Fi ake into account any res ath before the Final Da senefit of a further opinion as before the Final Date companied, where appre the amendments, see Re submit amendments, see consider amendments an with the examiner, see R	Australian Patent Office value Date by which the inponse (including amendate, the international preliment) on (if needed) before the by which the internation operate, by amendments, ules 66.3 and 66.9. Rule 66.4. Id/or arguments, see Rule 1.0. Authorized Officer	will not establish the Report before the eanternational preliminary examination report ments) filed before the Report is establish iminary examination report will be established should ensure that a mail preliminary examination report must according to Rule 66.3. e 66.4bis.	ort m hed. lished	
When? How? Also Name and mai AUSTRALIA' PO BOX 200, E-mail address:	Certain observations on the internationary 2006 licant is hereby invited to reply See the Reply Due date indicate (i) a response being filed, or (ii) be established. The Report will the fine response is filed by 1 months basis of this opinion. Applicants wishing to have the bresponse is filed at least 3 month established. By submitting a written reply, action for the form and the language of For an additional opportunity to a for the examiner's obligation to for an informal communication willing address of the IPEA/AU N PATENT OFFICE	emational application nal preliminary examina y to this opinion. d above. However, the A one month before the Fi ake into account any res ath before the Final Da senefit of a further opinion as before the Final Date companied, where appre the amendments, see Re submit amendments, see consider amendments an with the examiner, see R	Australian Patent Office of nal Date by which the inponse (including amendate, the international prelimination (if needed) before the by which the internation opinate, by amendments, ules 66.3 and 66.9. Rule 66.4. Indicate the control of the co	will not establish the Report before the eanternational preliminary examination reportments) filed before the Report is establish iminary examination report will be established should ensure that a mail preliminary examination report must according to Rule 66.3. e 66.4bis.	ort m hed. lished ì	
When? How? Also Name and mai AUSTRALIA' PO BOX 200, E-mail address:	Certain observations on the internation of the content of the cont	emational application nal preliminary examina y to this opinion. d above. However, the A one month before the Fi ake into account any res ath before the Final Da senefit of a further opinion as before the Final Date companied, where appre the amendments, see Re submit amendments, see consider amendments an with the examiner, see R	Australian Patent Office value Date by which the inponse (including amendate, the international preliment) on (if needed) before the by which the internation operate, by amendments, ules 66.3 and 66.9. Rule 66.4. Id/or arguments, see Rule 1.0. Authorized Officer	will not establish the Report before the eanternational preliminary examination reportments) filed before the Report is establish iminary examination report will be established should ensure that a mail preliminary examination report must according to Rule 66.3. e 66.4bis.	ort m hed. lished	
When? How? Also Name and mai AUSTRALIA' PO BOX 200, E-mail address:	Certain observations on the internation of the content of the cont	emational application nal preliminary examina y to this opinion. d above. However, the A one month before the Fi ake into account any res ath before the Final Da senefit of a further opinion as before the Final Date companied, where appre the amendments, see Re submit amendments, see consider amendments an with the examiner, see R	Australian Patent Office of nal Date by which the inponse (including amendate, the international prelimination (if needed) before the by which the internation opinate, by amendments, ules 66.3 and 66.9. Rule 66.4. Indicate the control of the co	will not establish the Report before the eaternational preliminary examination report ments) filed before the Report is establish iminary examination report will be established should ensure that a mail preliminary examination report must according to Rule 66.3. de 66.4bis.	ort m hed. lished ì	
When? How? Also Name and mai AUSTRALIA' PO BOX 200, E-mail address	Certain observations on the internation of the content of the cont	emational application nal preliminary examina y to this opinion. d above. However, the A one month before the Fi ake into account any res ath before the Final Da senefit of a further opinion as before the Final Date companied, where appre the amendments, see Re submit amendments, see consider amendments an with the examiner, see R	Australian Patent Office of nal Date by which the inponse (including amendate, the international prelimination (if needed) before the by which the internation opinate, by amendments, ules 66.3 and 66.9. Rule 66.4. Indicate the control of the co	will not establish the Report before the easternational preliminary examination reportments) filed before the Report is establishminary examination report will be established should ensure that a mail preliminary examination report must according to Rule 66.3. e 66.4bis.	ort m hed. lished ì	
When? How? Also Name and mai AUSTRALIA' PO BOX 200, E-mail address	Certain observations on the internation of the content of the cont	emational application nal preliminary examina y to this opinion. d above. However, the A one month before the Fi ake into account any res ath before the Final Da senefit of a further opinion as before the Final Date companied, where appre the amendments, see Re submit amendments, see consider amendments an with the examiner, see R	Australian Patent Office of nal Date by which the inponse (including amendate, the international prelimination (if needed) before the by which the internation opinate, by amendments, ules 66.3 and 66.9. Rule 66.4. Indicate the control of the co	will not establish the Report before the eaternational preliminary examination report ments) filed before the Report is establish iminary examination report will be established should ensure that a mail preliminary examination report must according to Rule 66.3. de 66.4bis.	ort m hed. lished ì	

I.	Basis of the c	pinion					
1.	1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*						
	the international application as originally filed.						
	X the descripti	on, pages 1-11, as originally filed,					
		pages , filed with the demand,					
		pages, received on with the letter of	1)-				
	X the claims,	pages 12-13, as originally filed,					
		pages , as amended under Article 19,					
		pages , filed with the demand,					
		pages 14-17, received on 25 July 2005 with the letter of 25 July 2005					
	X the drawings	, pages 1-5, as originally filed,					
ļ		pages, filed with the demand,					
		pages, received on with the letter of					
')	the sequence	listing part of the description:					
		pages , as originally filed					
		pages , filed with the demand					
		pages, received on with the letter of					
2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:							
	the language	of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
	the language	of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
	the language and/or 55.3).	of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rul	es 55.2				
	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:						
	contained in	he international application in printed form.					
	filed together	with the international application in computer readable form.					
)	furnished sub	sequently to this Authority in written form.					
	furnished sub	sequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
		t that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the application as filed has been furnished.	ı e				
	The statement been furnished	that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence list d.	ing has				
4.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
	the d	escription, pages					
	the c	aims, Nos.					
	the d	rawings, sheets/fig.					
5.		as been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been co disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	nsidered to				
	placement sheets whic on as "originally filed	have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in	ı this				

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

1. The claims are unclear with respect to the use of the term "wafer" (eg, independent claims 1, 43, 48 and 50), and the distinction between this term and "substrate". In common usage, a "wafer" typically refers to the common substrate on which a plurality of devices are formed. However, in Figure 1 the wafer 10 appears to refer to all elements of the devices, including the "substrate"; while in independent claims 1, 48 and 50 it appears that the wafer refers only to the device layers. For the purposes of this report I have interpreted "wafer" and "substrate" to be the same, and to refer to the layer on which the semiconductor devices of the claims are formed.

While the applicant has agreed with this interpretation, the claims remain prima facie unclear for the above reasons (in more detail, for example, claim 1 refers to a "substrate" on lines 1 and 7 but to a "wafer" on lines 2 and 4, prima facie suggesting these terms must refer to different features — this is further suggested by claim 15, which implies that the "wafer" remains after the removal of the "substrate" in step (c)).

As noted in the previous Opinion, this clarity issue is significant, as a different interpretation may lead to novelty and inventive step objections being raised (eg, Figures 2a and 2b of D1 in Box V show a copper layer electroplated on a 'wafer' device 1110, 1106, on the side remote from a 'substrate' 1105, with ohmic contacts 1020 and 1118, and with subsequent removal of the 'substrate' 1105).

2. Independent claim 1 is not clear with respect to "a <u>relatively</u> thick layer" (eg, line 5 of claim 1), and in particular whether 'relative' is in respect of the seed layer, the device layers, or the substrate. Perhaps it would be clearer if a functional criterion was given, such as sufficient thickness to provide a heat sink or mechanical support, or if a minimum thickness was given (eg, noting page 6 line 10, 50 microns).

The applicant has argued that the term "relatively" refers to the layer being relatively thick as opposed to relative to a particular feature. However, it is a matter of plain English that "relatively thick" has no absolute meaning; it intrinsically means thick in comparison to something else. Hence this argument must be rejected.